Synthesis and collagenase inhibition of new glycosides of aranciamycinone: the aglycon of the naturally occurring antibiotic aranciamycin

Mikael Bols a, Lise Binderup b, Jytte Hansen b and Poul Rasmussen b

(Received January 16th, 1992; accepted May 4th, 1992)

ABSTRACT

Glycosides of aranciamycinone were prepared by glycosylation with sugar acetates and trimethylsilyl triflate in dichloromethane. Glycosides of the following sugars were prepared: α -L-rhamnopyranose, β -D-ribopyranose, β -D-rylopyranose, α -L-fucopyranose, 2-azido-2,6-dideoxy- α -L-mannopyranose, 2,6-dideoxy- α -L-arabino-hexopyranose, 3,6-dideoxy- α -L-arabino-hexopyranose, and 4,6-dideoxy- α -L-lyxo-hexopyranose. The new glycosides were tested for inhibition of Clostridium histolyticum collagenase and Yoshida Sarcoma tumor cells.

INTRODUCTION

Aranciamycin (1), an anthracycline antibiotic, has been isolated from *Streptomyces echinatus*¹ and *Streptomyces chromofuscus*². It consists of the tetracyclic aglycon aranciamycinone¹ (2) and 2-O-methyl-L-rhamnose³, and is a member of the steffimycin family of antibiotics^{4,5}.

During our screening for microbial metabolites with enzyme-inhibiting activity, we have isolated 1 from a strain of *Streptomyces griseoflavus*. While 1 is known to have borderline activity against tumor cells, we discovered it to be a specific inhibitor of *Clostridium histolyticum* collagenase. Studies were thus undertaken to investigate the structure-activity relationship in derivatives of 1. The work now described was aimed at the synthesis of a number of glycosides of aranciamycinone (2), in order to study the influence of variations in the sugar on biological activity.

^a Department of Organic Chemistry, The Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby (Denmark)

^b Leo Pharmaceutical Products, Industriparken 55, DK-2750 Ballerup (Denmark)

Correspondence to: Dr. M. Bols, Department of Organic Chemistry, The Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

So far only a few derivatives of 1 have been reported, most notably aranciamycinone (2), prepared by acidic hydrolysis of 1¹. Aranciamycinone (2) was found to have a similar level of antitumor activity but did not inhibit collagenase. This indicated that the sugar played an important role in the collagenase inhibition; to study this, we decided to prepare a number of glycosides of 2.

Glycosylation of 2 has not been reported, but coupling of the racemic 3-demethoxy analogue of 2 with bis(3-N-4-O-trifluoroacetyl)-L-daunosaminyl chloride and silver triflate has been achieved⁶. Silver triflate has been used as a glycosylation promoter with⁷ or without⁸ an acid acceptor; an acid acceptor is normally advantageous⁹. However, attempted glycosylation of 2 with silver triflate and either 2.3.4-tri-O-acetyl- α -L-rhamnosyl bromide¹⁰ or 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- α -D-glucosyl bromide in the presence of 2,4,6-colliding resulted only in orthogster formation. When collidine was omitted, glycosides were formed, together with aranciamycinone 4-acetate derived from the orthoester. As acidic conditions were necessary, we decided to try the simpler procedure of treating sugar acetates with the alcohol 2 in the presence of trimethylsilyl triflate (Me₃SiOTf)¹¹. When 2 reacted with 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl- α -L-rhamnose and Me₃SiOTf, the α -glycoside 3a was isolated in 55% yield. Deacetylation with sodium methoxide gave the unprotected glycoside 3 (87%). Regarding the configuration at the anomeric carbon in 3 and 3a, the low-field chemical shift of H-1' in the ¹H NMR spectrum showed that this proton was equatorial. As the 4C_1 conformation is unlikely to be present to any large extent for L-rhamnose, this was regarded as evidence for the α configuration.

Reaction of **2** with 1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-acetyl-D-glucose and Me₃SiOTf gave the acetylated β -glycoside **4a** (19%) together with aranciamycinone 4-acetate (36%). Deacetylation gave the β -D-glucoside **4** in 73% yield. The large value of $J_{1',2'}$ in **4** and **4a** is consistent only with the β configuration.

Similar glycosylation of 2 with tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-ribose, tetra-O-acetyl- α , β -D-xylose, and tetra-O-acetyl- α -L-fucose gave the β -D-riboside 5a (67%), β -D-xyloside 6a (27%), and α -L-fucoside 7a (37%), respectively. The compounds were deacetylated satisfactorily in 75–93% yield to give the corresponding unprotected glycosides 5, 6, and 7. The β -D configuration of 6/6a was evidenced by the large proton coupling between H-1' and H-2' (7.1 Hz). The β -D configuration of 5/5a was established by two facts: first, the low-field chemical shift of H-1' (δ 5.5), showing this proton to be equatorial; secondly, the small couplings in 5a between H-4' and the H-5' protons ($J_{4',5'b}$ 5.1 Hz, $J_{4',5'a}$ 3.1 Hz), showing that the pyranose ring was predominantly in the ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation. Similarly, the α -L configuration of 7/7a was established by these facts: H-1 equatorial (δ 5.62), and the pyranose ring in the ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation ($J_{2',3'}$ 10.3 Hz).

Glycosylation with the rhamnose analogues 1,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-2-azido-2,6-dide-oxy-L-mannopyranose, 1,3,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-2-deoxy-L-*arabino*-hexopyranose, 1,2,4-tri-*O*-acetyl-3-deoxy-L-*arabino*-hexopyranose, and 1,2,3-tri-*O*-acetyl-4-deoxy-L-*lyxo*-

hexopyranose 12 also proceeded smoothly to give the expected α -glycosides 8a (48%), 9a (46%), 10a (74%), and 11a (55%), respectively. Whereas the azido analogue had a reactivity much like that of rhamnose, the deoxy analogues reacted faster, especially the 2-deoxy analogue. Also, the 2-deoxyglycoside 9a was unstable under the reaction conditions; after 1 h at 25°, only aglycon was recovered. When run for 1 h at -15° , the reaction gave a satisfactory yield of 9a (46%).

 $R^1 = R^4 = H$, $R^2 = OAc$, $R^3 = Ac$

7a $R^2 = H$, $R^1 = OAc$, $R^3 = Ac$, $R^4 = CH$,

 $7 R^2 = R^3 = H$, $R^1 = OH$, $R^4 = CH_2$

TABLE I	
IC ₅₀ -values (μM) of aranciamycinone glycosides for inhibition of Clostridium histolyticum collagenas	e
and Yoshida tumor cells ¹³	

Compound	Collagenase a	Tumor cell DNA synthesis b
Aranciamycinone (2)	19	0.6
Rhamnoside (3)	2.8	7.1
Glucoside (4)	8.4	16
Riboside (5)	6.3	2.4
Xyloside (6)	4.0	3.0
Fucoside (7)	13	4.5
2-Azidorhamnoside (8)	1.0	0.53
2-Deoxyrhamnoside (9)	3.5	2.2
3-Deoxyrhamnoside (10)	7.9	3.2
4-Deoxyrhamnoside (11)	8.9	2.8
Aranciamycin (1)	0.37	2.2

^a Enzyme action on the synthetic substrate 4-phenylazobenzyloxycarbonyl-L-Pro-L-Leu-Gly-L-Pro-D-Arg for 30 min at 37° and pH 7.1. Data from spectrophotometric measurement (320 nm) of an EtOAc extract. ^b Cells incubated with test compounds for 24 h, at 37°. Data from the assessment of ³H-thymidine incorporation at the end of the period.

The protected glycosides 8a, 9a, 10a, and 11a were deacetylated to give 8, 9, 10, and 11, respectively, in 71-80% yield. The α configurations of the products were proven by the low-field chemical shifts for the equatorial H-1', which were very similar to that of the anomeric proton in 3. Also, products 8, 9, and 10 had a large $J_{4',5'}$, showing that they were predominantly in the ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation.

Compounds 3-11 were tested for collagenase and tumor cell DNA-synthesis inhibition¹³. The results are shown in Table I. All glycosides showed higher collagenase inhibition than aglycon 2, but lower inhibition than 1. The 2'-analogues 3, 8, and 9 showed the highest inhibition. Almost all the glycosides showed lower tumor cell inhibition than both 1 and 2. The apparent trend was that tumor cell inhibition fell with increased polarity, the glucoside 4 having the lowest activity.

In conclusion, this paper has shown that trimethylsilyl triflate promoted coupling of an anthracyclin aglycon with a wide range of different sugar acetates. The products were normally 1,2-trans glycosides, with the exception of the fucoside 7 where the anomerically more stable product was obtained. The advantage of the method is its simplicity and wide scope.

EXPERIMENTAL

General.—Melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AC-300 instrument with Me₄Si as internal reference. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer PE241 apparatus. TLC was performed on Silica Gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates (Merck). Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory, Leo Pharmaceutical Products. For NMR data marked

with *, the resonances of the aglycon are essentially identical to those listed earlier. The assignment of spectral resonances marked with # may be reversed. Aranciamycin (1) was obtained by fermentation of a strain of Streptomyces griseoflavus¹³; aranciamycinone (2) was obtained by acidic hydrolysis of 1 as described by Keller-Schierlein et al.¹.

Glycosylation of aranciamycinone.—General procedure. The reaction was carried out under standard anhydrous conditions. To a solution of aranciamycinone (2; 100 mg, 0.26 mmol) and the peracetylated sugar (0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) at -15° was added trimethylsilyl triflate (60 μ L, 0.34 mmol). The mixture was stirred for the indicated time at the stated temperature. Water (50 mL) was added, and the mixture extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to an orange-yellow residue. Flash chromatography in 66:33:1 EtOAc-pentane-HCOOH gave the acetylated glycoside, normally as an orange amorphous solid.

Deacetylation was carried out by dissolving the acetate in NaOMe (0.1 M, 1 mL/10 mg of acetate) and keeping it for 3 h at 25°. Aqueous AcOH (5%, 4 mL/10 mg of acetate) was added, and the mixture was extracted 6 times with equal volumes of EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated to give the desired glycoside as a crystalline or amorphous solid.

(2S,3S,4R)-4-(6-Deoxy-α-L-mannopyranosyloxy)-3,4-dihydro-2,5,7-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-1,6,11-(2H)naphthacenetrione (3).—Reaction of 2 (100 mg) with 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl-α-L-rhamnopyranose (121 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.4 equiv) for 16 h at 25° gave triacetate **3a** (94 mg, 55%), $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 72° (c 0.18, CHCl₃). NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H, δ 1.26 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 1.55 (s, 3 H, 2-Me), 1.88 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.00 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.15 (s, 3 H, AcO), 3.49 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.70 (d, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 2.3 Hz, H-3), 4.04 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 5.09 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 5.12 (m, 1 H, H-3'), 5.14 (d, 1 H, H-4), 5.32 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2',3'}$ 2.8 Hz, H-2'), 5.45 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 1.7 Hz, H-1'), 7.23 (dd, 1 H, $J_{8,9}$ 8.4 Hz, $J_{8,10}$ 1.1 Hz, H-8), 7.65 (dd, 1 H, $J_{9,10}$ 7.4 Hz, H-9), 7.76 (dd, 1 H, H-10), 8.26 (s, 1 H, H-12), 11.74 (s, 1 H, OH), 12.59 (s, 1 H, OH).

Compound **3a** (50 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give a residue (50 mg) from which the desired glycoside **3** (35 mg, 87%) crystallized with MeOH; mp 156–158°; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 194° (c 0.04, MeOH). NMR data $[(CD_3)_2CO]$: 1 H, δ 1.37 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 1.51 (s, 3 H, Me-2), 3.6 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 3.6 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.81 (d, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 2.5 Hz, H-3), 3.85–4.25 (m, 3 H, H-2',3',5'), 4.59 (bs, 1 H, HO-2), 5.24 (d, 1 H, H-4), 5.53 (s, 1 H, H-1'), 7.40 (dd, 1 H, $J_{8,9}$ 8.1 Hz, $J_{8,10}$ 1.2 Hz, H-8), 7.83 (dd, 1 H, $J_{9,10}$ 7.4 Hz, H-10), 7.88 (dd, 1 H, H-9), 8.20 (s, 1 H, H-12); 13 C, δ 18.1 (C-6'), 23.7 (Me), 60.4 (OMe), 71.1 (C-5'#), 71.8 (C-2'#), 72.5 (C-3'#), 72.5 (C-4), 73.4 (C-4'#), 78.0 (C-2), 87.1 (C-3), 105.4 (C-1'), 116.7, 117.0, 120.0, 120.7, 125.5, 134.6, 135.0, 137.0, 138.9 (10 Ar), 163.5, 163.5 (C-5,7), 182.0 (C-11), 194.0 (C-6), 200.0 (C-1). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{26}H_{26}O_{12} \cdot 2CH_3OH$: C, 56.56; H, 5.76. Found: C, 56.95; H, 5.73.

(2S,3S,4R)-4-(β-D-Glucopyranosyloxy)-3,4-dihydro-2,5,7-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (4).—Reaction of 2 (50 mg) with 1,2,3,4,6-

penta-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranose (100 mg, 0.26 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and Me₃SiOTf (40 μ L) for 18 h at 25°, as described above, gave the tetra-acetate **4a** (18 mg, 19%). Aranciamycinone 4-acetate (20 mg, 36%) was also isolated from a faster-moving fraction. NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H*, δ 1.87 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.01 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.07 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.11 (s, 3 H, AcO), 3.91 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{5',6'a}$ 2.4 Hz, $J_{5',6'b}$ 5.4 Hz, $J_{4',5'}$ 10.1 Hz, H-5'), 4.23 (dd, 1 H, $J_{6'b,6'a}$ 12.2 Hz, H-6'b), 4.32 (dd, 1 H, H-6'a), 5.01 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 7.9 Hz, $J_{2',3'}$ 9.5 Hz, H-2'), 5.12 (t, 1 H, $J_{3',4'}$ 9.4 Hz, H-4'), 5.22 (d, 1 H, H-1'), 5.33 (t, 1 H, H-3'). 13 C*, δ 20.6–20.7 (4 Ac), 62.3 (C-6'), 68.6 (C-4'#). 71.5 (C-2'#), 71.6 (C-5'#), 72.2 (C-3'#), 102.7 (C-1'), 169–170.5 (4 Ac).

Compound **4a** (18 mg) was deacetylated as described above, except that, after the acidification with AcOH, extraction was omitted, and the solution was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (99:1 EtOAc-HCOOH followed by 83:16:1 EtOAc-MeOH-HCOOH) to give amorphous **4** (10 mg, 73%), $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 51^\circ$ (c 0.15, MeOH). NMR data (CD₃OD): 1 H*, δ 3.2–3.7 (m, 4 H, H-2',3',4',5'), 3.79 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5',6'b}$ 5.7 Hz, $J_{6'b,6'a}$ 11.9 Hz, H-6'b), 3.98 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5',6'a}$ 1.9 Hz, H-6'a), 5.01 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 7.8 Hz, H-1'). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{26}H_{26}O_{13} \cdot 4H_2O$: C, 50.49; H, 5.54. Found: C, 50.55; H, 5.31.

(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-Dihydro-2,5,7-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-4-(β-D-ribopyrano-syloxy)-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (5)—Reaction of **2** (100 mg) with 1,2,3,4-te-tra-O-acetyl-β-D-ribopyranose (200 mg, 0.63 mmol, 2.6 equiv) and Me₃SiOTf (80 μL) for 24 h at 25°, as described above, gave the crystalline triacetate **5a** (112 mg, 67%); mp 226–230°; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +92° (c 0.1, CHCl₃). NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H*, δ 2.09 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.10 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.14 (s, 3 H, AcO), 3.98 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4',5'b}$ 5.1 Hz, $J_{5'a,5'b}$ 12.5 Hz, H-5'b), 4.17 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4',5'a}$ 3.1 Hz, H-5a'), 5.1–5.2 (m, 2 H, H-2',4'), 5.35 (t, 1 H, $J_{2',3'}$ 3.5 Hz, $J_{3',4'}$ 3.5 Hz, H-3'), 5.50 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 4.1 Hz, H-1'); 13 C*, δ 20.4–20.7 (3 Ac), 61.8 (C-5'), 65.9 (C-3'#), 66.3 (C-4'#), 68.0 (C-2'#), 101.6 (C-1'), 169–170.5 (4 Ac).

Compound **5a** (14 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give the glycoside **5** (9 mg, 80%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 167° (c 0.02, acetone). NMR data $[(CD_3)_2CO]$: $^1H^*$, δ 3.70 (bs, 1 H) and 3.79 (bs, 1 H, H-2',3'), 3.85–4.0 (m, 2 H, H-4',5'b), 4.08 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4',5'a}$ 1.9 Hz, $J_{5'a,5'b}$ 13.1 Hz, H-5'a), 5.52 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 3.3 Hz, H-1'); $^{13}C^*$, δ 65.9 (C-5'), 67.5 (C-3'#), 70.4 (C-4'#), 73.0 (C-2'#), 106.0 (C-1'). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{25}H_{24}O_{12} \cdot 3H_2O$: C, 52.63; H, 5.30. Found: C, 52.65; H, 5.28.

(2S,3S,4R)-3,4-Dihydro-2,5,7-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-4-(β-D-xylopyrano-syloxy)-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (6).—Reaction of 2 (100 mg) with 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl-D-xylopyranose (200 mg, 0.63 mmol, 2.6 equiv; 1:1 anomeric mixture) and Me₃SiOTf (80 μL) for 6 h at 25°, as described above, gave the triacetate 6a (45 mg, 27%). NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H*, δ 1.93 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.01 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.10 (s, 3 H, AcO), 3.6 (m, 1 H, H-5'a), 4.27 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4',5'b}$ 4.9 Hz, $J_{5'b,5'a}$ 11.9 Hz, H-5'b), 5.0 (m, 2 H, H-1',4'), 5.21 (t, 1 H), 5.27 (t, 1 H, H-2',3').

Compound **6a** (8 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give the glycoside **6** (6 mg, 93%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 90^\circ$ (c 0.04, acetone). NMR data $[(CD_3)_2CO]$: $^1H^*$, δ 3.28 (t, 1 H, $J_{2'3'} = J_{3'4'} = 8.4$ Hz, H-3'), 3.45–3.65 (m, 2 H, H-2',5'), 4.0–4.1 (m, 2 H,

H-4′,5′), 5.02 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 7.1 Hz, H-1′); ¹³C*, δ 66.7 (C-5′), 70.8 (C-4′#), 75.0 (C-2′#), 77.3 (C-3′#), 107.1 (C-1′). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{25}H_{24}O_{12} \cdot 2H_2O$: C, 54.35; H, 5.11. Found: C, 54.36; H, 5.23.

(2S,3S,4R)-4-(6-Deoxy-α-L-galactopyranosyloxy)-3,4-dihydro-2,5,7-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (7).—Reaction of 2 (100 mg) with 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl-α-L-fucopyranose (205 mg, 0.62 mmol, 2.4 equiv) and Me₃SiOTf (80 μL) for 19 h at 25°, as described above, gave the triacetate 7a (64 mg, 37%). Aranciamycin 4-acetate (52%) was also isolated from a faster-moving fraction. NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H*, δ 1.28 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.5 Hz, H-6'), 1.92 (s, 3 H, AcO), 1.98 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.22 (s, 3 H, AcO), 4.38 (q, 1 H, H-5'), 5.15–5.30 (m, 3 H, H-2',3',4'), 5.85 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 3.6 Hz, H-1').

Compound 7a (64 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give the glycoside 7 (38 mg, 75%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 100^\circ$ (c 0.06, MeOH). NMR data (CD₃OD): 1 H*, δ 1.35 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.5 Hz, H-6'), 3.66 (t, 1 H, $J_{2',3'} = J_{3',4'} = 3.2$ Hz, H-3'), 3.78 (m, 1 H, H-4'), 3.89 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 4.1 Hz, $J_{2',3'}$ 10.3 Hz, H-2'), 4.19 (bq, 1 H, H-5'), 5.62 (d, 1 H, H-1'). Anal. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{26}O_{12} \cdot 5H_2O$: C, 50.32; H, 5.85. Found: C, 49.99; H, 5.17.

(2S,3S,4R)-4-(2-Azido-2,6-dideoxy-α-L-mannopyranosyloxy)-3,4-dihydro-2,5,7-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (8).—Reaction of 2 (100 mg) with 1,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-2-azido-2,6-dideoxy-L-mannopyranose¹² (150 mg, 0.48 mmol, 1.8 equiv; α:β ratio 1:1) for 24 h at 25°, as described above, gave the diacetate 8a (80 mg, 48%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 99^\circ$ (c 0.07, CHCl₃). NMR data (CDCl₃): ${}^1H^*$, δ 1.32 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 2.07 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.09 (s, 3 H, AcO), 4.07 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 4.2 (bs, 1 H, H-2'), 5.20 (m, 2 H, H-3',4'), 5.55 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 1.6 Hz, H-1'); ${}^{13}C^*$, δ 17.3 (C-6'), 20.3–20.5 (2 Ac), 61.3 (C-2'), 67.9 (C-4'#), 70.3 (C-3'#), 70.6 (C-5'#), 101.4 (C-1'), 169.5–169.8 (2 Ac).

Compound **8a** (60 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give the glycoside **8** (38 mg, 73%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +62° (c 0.12, MeOH). NMR data (CD₃OD): 1 H*, δ 1.39 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 3.45 (t, 1 H, $J_{3',4'}$ = $J_{4',5'}$ = 9.3 Hz, H-4'), 3.85 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 3.89 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2',3'}$ 3.8 Hz, H-3'), 4.07 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 1.7 Hz, H-2'), 5.49 (bs, 1 H, H-1'); 13 C*, δ 18.0 (C-6'), 65.9 (C-2'), 71.7 (C-4'#), 72.8 (C-3'#), 73.8 (C-5'#), 103.5 (C-1'). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{30}H_{29}N_3O_{13} \cdot 3THF$ *: C, 58.94; H, 6.24. Found: C, 59.35; H, 5.85.

(2S,3S,4R)-4-(2,6-Dideoxy-α-L-arabino-hexopyranosyloxy)-3,4-dihydro-2,5,7-tri-hydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (9).—Reaction of **2** (100 mg) with 1,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-L-arabino-hexopyranose¹² (100 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.4 equiv; α: β ratio 1:2) for 1 h at -15° , as described above, gave the diacetate **9a** (72 mg, 46%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 124^\circ$ (c 0.16, CHCl₃). NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H*, δ 1.29 (d, 3 H, H-6'), 1.90 (m, 1 H, H-2'), 1.99 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.09 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.37 (dd,

^{*} The solvent content was verified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The analytical sample was prepared by concentrating a solution in tetrahydrofuran.

1 H, $J_{2'a,3'}$ 5.2 Hz, $J_{2'a,2'b}$ 12.2 Hz, H-2'a), 4.08 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 4.86 (t, 1 H, $J_{3'4'} = J_{4'5'} = 9.6$ Hz, H-4'), 5.10 (m, 1 H, H-3'), 5.66 (bd, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 3.2 Hz, H-1').

Compound **9a** (21 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give the glycoside **9** (14 mg, 78%), which crystallised from MeOH; mp 146–150°, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +142° (c 1.0, MeOH). NMR data (CD₃OD): $^1\text{H}^*$, δ 1.38 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 1.74 (dt, 1 H, $J_{2'b,3'}$ 4.0 Hz, $J_{2'b,2'a}$ 12.8 Hz, H-2'b), 2.21 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2'a,3'}$ 5.1 Hz, H-2'a), 3.06 (t, 1 H, $J_{3',4'} = J_{4',5'} = 9.2$ Hz, H-4'), 3.71 (m, 1 H, H-3'), 3.88 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 5.61 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 3.4 Hz, H-1'); $^{13}\text{C}^*$, δ 18.2 (C-6'), 39.2 (C-2'), 69.7 (C-3'#), 71.0 (C-4'#), 78.8 (C-5'#), 103.0 (C-1'). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{30}H_{30}O_{13} \cdot H_2O$: C, 58.44; H, 5.23. Found: C, 58.72; H, 5.31.

(2S,3S,4R)-4-(3,6-Dideoxy-α-L-arabino-hexopyranosyloxy)-3,4-dihydro-2,5,7-tri-hydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (10).—Reaction of 2 (100 mg) with 1,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-3-deoxy-α-L-arabino-hexopyranose ¹² (106 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.5 equiv) for 1 h at 25°, as described above, gave the diacetate 10a (116 mg, 74%), $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +85° (c 1.0, CHCl₃). NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H*, δ 1.31 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 1.82 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2',3'b}$ 3.0 Hz, $J_{3'b,4'}$ 11.3 Hz, $J_{3'b,3'a}$ 13.6 Hz, H-3'b), 2.08 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.14 (m, 1 H, H-3a'), 2.19 (s, 3 H, AcO), 4.04 (dq, 1 H, $J_{4',5'}$ 9.7 Hz, H-5'), 4.91 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{3'a,4'}$ 4.5 Hz, H-4'), 5.03 (bs, 1 H, H-2'), 5.43 (bs, 1 H, H-1'); 13 C*, δ 17.4 (C-6'), 20.7–20.9 (2 Ac), 29.1 (C-3'), 67.8 (C-2'#), 69.0 (C-4'#), 69.1 (C-5'#), 99.8 (C-1'), 169.7–169.8 (2 Ac).

Compound **10a** (100 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give the glycoside **10** (61 mg, 71%), which crystallised from MeOH; mp 155–165°; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 146° (c 0.04, MeOH). NMR data (CD₃OD): 1 H*, δ 1.38 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 1.71 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2',3'b}$ 2.9 Hz, $J_{3'b,4'}$ 10.2 Hz, $J_{3'b,3'a}$ 12.2 Hz, H-3'b), 2.01 (m, 1 H, H-3a'), 3.66 (dt, 1 H, $J_{3'a,4'}$ 4.3 Hz, $J_{4',5'}$ 10.2 Hz, H-4'), 3.84 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 3.95 (bs, 1 H, H-2'), 5.33 (bs, 1 H, H-1'); 13 C*, δ 18.2 (C-6'), 36.1 (C-3'), 68.1 (C-2'#), 69.2 (C-4'#), 72.6 (C-5'#), 104.6 (C-1'). *Anal.* Calcd for C₃₀H₃₀O₁₃· H₂O: C, 58.44; H, 5.23. Found: C, 58.46; H, 5.15.

(2S,3S,4R)-4-(4,6-Dideoxy-α-L-lyxo-hexopyranosyloxy)-3,4-dihydro-2,5,7-trihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-methyl-1,6,11(2H)-naphthacenetrione (11).—Reaction of 2 (100 mg) with 1,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-4-deoxy-α-L-lyxo-hexopyranose ¹² (100 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.4 equiv) for 3 h at 25°, as described above, gave the diacetate 11a (85 mg, 55%), $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 189° (c 1.0, CHCl₃). NMR data (CDCl₃): 1 H*, δ 1.38 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 1.88 (m, 2 H, H-4'a,4'b), 1.98 (s, 3 H, AcO), 2.22 (s, 3 H, AcO), 4.24 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 5.12 (m, 1 H, H-3'), 5.24 (bs, 1 H, H-2'), 5.56 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 1.5 Hz, H-1'); 13 C*, δ 20.6 (C-6'), 20.7–20.8 (2 Ac), 32.9 (C-4'), 65.7 (C-5'#), 66.5 (C-3'#), 67.2 (C-2'#), 102.1 (C-1'), 169.7–169.8 (2 Ac).

Compound 11a (74 mg) was deacetylated as described above, to give the glycoside 11 (51 mg, 80%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +120° (c 0.23, MeOH). NMR data (CD₃OD): 1 H*, δ 1.34 (d, 3 H, $J_{5',6'}$ 6.2 Hz, H-6'), 1.75 (m, 2 H, H-4'a,4'b), 3.87 (bs, 1 H, H-2'), 3.91 (m, 1 H, H-3'), 4.18 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 5.51 (bs, 1 H, H-1'); 13 C*, δ 21.5 (C-6'), 36.7 (C-4'), 67.1 (C-5'#), 67.6 (C-3'#), 69.8 (C-2'#), 106.6 (C-1'). *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{30}H_{30}O_{13} \cdot H_2O$: C, 58.44; H, 5.23. Found: C, 58.22; H, 5.10.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Martin T. Sørensen for technical assistance, and Niels Rastrup Andersen and his staff for recording the NMR spectra.

REFERENCES

- 1 W. Keller-Schierlein, J. Sauerbier, U. Vogler, and H. Zaehner, Helv. Chim. Acta, 53 (1970) 779-789.
- 2 A. Fujiwara, M. Tozoe, T. Hoshino, Y. Sekine, and M. Fujiwara, Tennen Yuki Kagobutsu Toronkai, 22 (1979) 448-455.
- 3 W. Keller-Schierlein and A. Mueller, Experientia, 26 (1970) 929-930.
- 4 M.E. Bergy and F. Reusser, Experientia, 23 (1967) 254-255.
- 5 T.F. Brodasky and F. Reusser, J. Antibiot., 27 (1974) 809-813.
- 6 K. Krohn and E. Broser, J. Org. Chem., 49 (1984) 3766-3771.
- 7 J. Annarp and J. Lönngren, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, (1981) 2070-2074.
- 8 M. Schultz and G. Zörkler, Liebigs Ann. Chem., (1989) 393-395.
- 9 H. Paulsen, Angew. Chem., 94 (1982) 184-201; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 21 (1982) 155-173.
- 10 H. Muehlemann and P.J. Lyk, Pharm. Acta Helv., 45 (1970) 728-753.
- 11 T. Ogawa, K. Beppu, and S. Nakabayashi, Carbohydr. Res., 93 (1981) c6-c9.
- 12 M. Bols, I. Lundt, and E.R. Ottosen, Carbohydr. Res., 222 (1991) 141-149.
- 13 M. Bols, L. Binderup, J. Hansen, and P. Rasmussen, J. Med. Chem., 35 (1992) 2768-2771.